



# Whole-Genome Sequences of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* Isolates from Canine and Human Bacteremia Infections

Sara V. Little,<sup>a</sup>  Laura K. Bryan,<sup>a</sup> Andrew E. Hillhouse,<sup>a,b</sup> Kranti Konganti,<sup>b</sup>  Sara D. Lawhon<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

<sup>b</sup>Texas A&M Institute for Genome Sciences and Society, College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

**ABSTRACT** Here, we report the complete and draft genome sequences of 8 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolates, 4 from human bacteremia infections and 4 from canine bacteremia infections. This species is recognized primarily as an important canine pathogen, but it is increasingly being identified in human infections.

*Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* is a major canine pathogen that, similarly to *Staphylococcus aureus*, has an increasing trend of multiple-drug resistance. The identification of *S. pseudintermedius* as a human pathogen has increased (1–6). Isolates may be misidentified as *S. aureus*, which can complicate treatment (5, 7, 8). The ability of staphylococci to exit the bloodstream and establish secondary infections in a myriad of tissues suggests a broad arsenal of virulence factors (9–11). Whole-genome sequencing of isolates from both humans and dogs may provide valuable insights into the virulence factors of the organism, as well as into potential differences in isolates causing human infections. Here, we present 8 whole-genome sequences of *S. pseudintermedius* from human and canine bacteremia cases.

Four human bacteremia isolates were acquired from a collection of 45 human isolates. A description of the isolates and their collection was previously published (5). Four canine bacteremia isolates were selected from isolates collected by the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory of the Texas Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital at Texas A&M University between 2007 and 2016. Isolates were stored in lysogeny broth supplemented with 10% glycerol at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  at the time of isolation. Isolates were originally identified, as previously described, as *S. pseudintermedius*, and identification was confirmed using multiplex PCR and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (5, 12). After sequencing, multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and ribosomal multilocus sequence typing (rMLST) were used to further confirm identification as *S. pseudintermedius* (13, 14). Prior to sequencing, isolates were revived by growing them on blood agar for 24 hours at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Isolates were subcultured twice to ensure purity. A single colony was inoculated into Bacto brain heart infusion broth (BHIB; Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and grown for 8 hours at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  prior to extraction.

For Illumina sequencing, 1-ml aliquots of each isolate in BHIB were pelleted and lysed in a Qiagen TissueLyser using Macherey-Nagel bead tubes type B and lysis buffer from the NucleoMag tissue DNA kit. DNA isolation followed the manufacturer's protocol (Macherey-Nagel). Libraries were prepared using the Illumina Nextera DNA Flex library preparation kit following the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced with an Illumina MiSeq V2  $2 \times 250$ -bp kit. All data were uploaded to Illumina's cloud-based resource, BaseSpace, for run monitoring, FASTQ generation, demultiplexing, and adapter trimming. The sequencing output of paired-end read sets contained approximately 1.5 million reads/isolate of 250 bp, resulting in approximately  $300\times$  coverage.

For MinION sequencing, DNA was extracted from a 1-ml aliquot of each isolate in BHIB

**Citation** Little SV, Bryan LK, Hillhouse AE, Konganti K, Lawhon SD. 2019. Whole-genome sequences of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolates from canine and human bacteremia infections. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00735-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00735-19>.

**Editor** Steven R. Gill, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry

**Copyright** © 2019 Little et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Sara D. Lawhon, [slawhon@cvm.tamu.edu](mailto:slawhon@cvm.tamu.edu).

**Received** 19 June 2019

**Accepted** 19 June 2019

**Published** 11 July 2019

**TABLE 1** Characteristics and accession numbers of genome sequences of *S. pseudintermedius* bacteremia isolates<sup>a</sup>

Isolate	Source	No. of contigs	No. of scaffolds	BUSCO (%)	$N_{50}$ (bp)	Genome size (bp)	G+C content (%)	GenBank accession no.	SRA accession no.		
									MinION FastQ	MinION Fast5	MiSeq
Tamu 46_57	Canine	13	2	99.20	638,705	2,561,987	37.7	SEZZ00000000	SRR8538958	SRR9211302	SRR8538959
Tamu 49_44	Canine	20	1	98.70	385,638	2,583,863	37.67	CP035743	SRR8538960	SRR9211301	SRR8538961
Tamu 50_21	Canine	7	2	99.60	1,401,107	2,527,337	37.74	SEZY00000000	SRR8538954	SRR9211304	SRR8538955
Tamu 51_92	Canine	1	1	99.60	2,512,263	2,512,263	37.8	CP035742	SRR8538956	SRR9211303	SRR8538957
Tamu 53_58	Human	24	2	99.60	238,709	2,655,352	37.42	SEZX00000000	SRR8538952	SRR9211298	SRR8538953
Tamu 53_60	Human	1	1	99.60	2,615,859	2,615,859	37.47	CP035741	SRR8538946	SRR9211297	SRR8538947
Tamu 53_63	Human	29	3	99.60	191,852	2,628,670	37.59	SEZW00000000	SRR8538948	SRR9211300	SRR8538949
Tamu 53_88	Human	5	1	99.60	1,396,228	2,593,641	37.69	CP035740	SRR8538950	SRR9211299	SRR8538951

<sup>a</sup> BioProject accession number [PRJNA521119](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA521119).

using a MasterPure Gram-positive DNA purification kit per the standard protocol, with the addition of lysostaphin during the lysing incubation. Libraries were prepared following the manufacturer's protocol for 1D PCR barcoding of genomic DNA using the Nanopore SQK-LSK108 kit. Genomic DNA quality was verified on a genomic DNA TapeStation run (Agilent). Data collection was performed by MinKNOW software, utilizing the following workflow: NC\_48Hr\_Sequencing\_Run\_FLO\_MIN106\_SQK-LSK108.py. MinION sequencing data were demultiplexed and base called using Albacore Sequencing Pipeline Software version 1.2.4. Sequencing resulted in an output of read sets containing 100 to 500 Mb per sample, resulting in 40× to 200× coverage.

MinION reads were assembled using Canu version 1.5 (15). The draft genomes were polished using Pilon version 1.22 (16); the initial polishing run utilized BAM files of MiSeq reads mapped to the Canu draft genome (Bowtie2 version 2.3.4.3) (17, 18), and the subsequent runs used MiSeq reads mapped to the previously polished draft genome for a total of 3 polishing runs. The genomes were analyzed for completeness using Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) version 3 (19, 20) using the *Firmicutes* OrthoDB version 9 data set. Genomes were scaffolded using MeDuSa version 1.6 (21), using 3 reference genomes, namely, ED99 (GenBank accession number [CP002478](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP002478)) and the two single-contig assemblies from this study (Tamu 51\_92 and Tamu 53\_60). Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified.

The final assemblies resulted in genomes between 2,561,987 bp and 2,615,859 bp (Table 1). The genomes consisted of 1 to 29 contigs per isolate, with the largest contigs ranging from 2,615,859 to 420,164 bp. Two genomes were complete single-contig assemblies, 2 were single-scaffold assemblies, and 4 were draft genomes of 2 to 3 scaffolds. BUSCO scores for the genomes ranged from 98.7% to 99.6%.

**Data availability.** This whole-genome project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number [PRJNA521119](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA521119). SRA and genome accession numbers are listed in Table 1. This announcement presents the first version of each genome.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding was provided by the Veterinary Orthopedic Society and the Morris Animal Foundation (pilot data grant D15CA-833: Quorum Sensing in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*) and through the Texas A&M University Clinical Science and Translational Research (CSTR) Institute Pilot Funding Program. Sara V. Little was supported by a Texas A&M University Diversity Fellowship and a Walter W. Lechner Scholarship.

We acknowledge the Texas A&M Institute for Genome Sciences and Society (TIGSS) for providing computational resources and systems administration support for the TIGSS High Performance Computing (HPC) Cluster.

## REFERENCES

- Börjesson S, Gómez-Sanz E, Ekström K, Torres C, Grönlund U. 2015. *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* can be misdiagnosed as *Staphylococcus aureus* in humans with dog bite wounds. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 34:839–844. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-014-2300-y>.

2. Kelesidis T, Tsiodras S. 2010. *Staphylococcus intermedius* is not only a zoonotic pathogen, but may also cause skin abscesses in humans after exposure to saliva. *Int J Infect Dis* 14:e838–e841. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2010.02.2249>.
3. Lee J, Murray A, Bendall R, Gaze W, Zhang L, Vos M. 2015. Improved detection of *Staphylococcus intermedius* group in a routine diagnostic laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:961–963. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02474-14>.
4. Yarbrough ML, Lainhart W, Burnham CA. 2018. Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of human clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus intermedius* group. *J Clin Microbiol* 56:e01788-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01788-17>.
5. Wu MT, Burnham CA, Westblade LF, Dien Bard J, Lawhon SD, Wallace MA, Stanley T, Burd E, Hindler J, Humphries RM. 2016. Evaluation of oxacillin and cefoxitin disk and MIC breakpoints for prediction of methicillin resistance in human and veterinary isolates of *Staphylococcus intermedius* group. *J Clin Microbiol* 54:535–542. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02864-15>.
6. Stegmann R, Burnham A, Maranta CA, Perreten V. 2010. Human infection associated with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* ST71. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65:2047–2048. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq241>.
7. Bemis DA, Jones RD, Frank LA, Kania SA. 2009. Evaluation of susceptibility test breakpoints used to predict *mecA*-mediated resistance in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolated from dogs. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 21:53–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104063870902100108>.
8. Schissler JR, Hillier A, Daniels JB, Cole LK, Gebreyes WA. 2009. Evaluation of Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute interpretive criteria for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolated from dogs. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 21:684–688. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104063870902100514>.
9. Edwards AM, Massey RC. 2011. How does *Staphylococcus aureus* escape the bloodstream? *Trends Microbiol* 19:184–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2010.12.005>.
10. Foster TJ, Geoghegan JA, Ganesh VK, Hook M. 2014. Adhesion, invasion and evasion: the many functions of the surface proteins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12:49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3161>.
11. Otto M. 2014. *Staphylococcus aureus* toxins. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 17:32–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2013.11.004>.
12. Sasaki T, Tsubakishita S, Tanaka Y, Sakusabe A, Ohtsuka M, Hirota S, Kawakami T, Fukata T, Hiramatsu K. 2010. Multiplex-PCR method for species identification of coagulase-positive staphylococci. *J Clin Microbiol* 48:765–769. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01232-09>.
13. Solyman SM, Black CC, Duim B, Perreten V, van Duijkeren E, Wagenaar JA, Eberlein LC, Sadeghi LN, Videla R, Bemis DA, Kania SA. 2013. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. *J Clin Microbiol* 51:306–310. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02421-12>.
14. Jolley KA, Bliss CM, Bennett JS, Bratcher HB, Brehony C, Colles FM, Wimalaratna H, Harrison OB, Sheppard SK, Cody AJ, Maiden MC. 2012. Ribosomal multilocus sequence typing: universal characterization of bacteria from domain to strain. *Microbiology* 158:1005–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.055459-0>.
15. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2017. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Res* 27:722–736. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116>.
16. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young SK, Earl AM. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* 9:e112963. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112963>.
17. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* 10:R25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25>.
18. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9:357–359. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>.
19. Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simao FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2017. BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:543–548. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx319>.
20. Simao FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31:3210–3212. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351>.
21. Bosi E, Donati B, Galardini M, Brunetti S, Sagot MF, Lio P, Crescenzi P, Fani R, Fondi M. 2015. MeDuSa: a multi-draft based scaffold. *Bioinformatics* 31:2443–2451. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv171>.