



# Draft Whole-Genome Sequence of the Green Sulfur Photosynthetic Bacterium *Chlorobaculum* sp. Strain 24CR, Isolated from the Carmel River

Shawn Freed,<sup>a</sup> Sydney Robertson,<sup>a</sup> Terry Meyer,<sup>b</sup> John Kyndt<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Science and Technology, Bellevue University, Bellevue, Nebraska, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA

**ABSTRACT** Green sulfur bacteria are in the family *Chlorobiaceae*, which is composed of four distinct genera, namely, *Chlorobaculum*, *Chlorobium*, *Prosthecochloris*, and *Chloroherpeton*, with *Chlorobium* species being the most commonly represented in genome studies. We have now sequenced only the fourth species of *Chlorobaculum*, which established *Chlorobaculum* sp. 24CR as a separate species and should help characterize the genus.

The green sulfur bacteria are in the *Chlorobiaceae* family, which is an interesting group that appears to be distantly related to *Bacteroides* (1). Green sulfur bacteria are obligately anaerobic photolithoautotrophs that perform anaerobic photosynthesis with oxidation of inorganic sulfur compounds (sulfide, polysulfide, or thiosulfate) (2). They live in both fresh and saltwater habitats, but their most interesting characteristic is their tolerance for very low light conditions, where they often form tightly coupled consortia with a central motile bacterium (3, 4). All members have large, distinct light harvesting structures called chlorosomes, which contain bacteriochlorophyll proteins and carotenoids (5–7). Chlorosomes are comprised of specific proteins connected to the reaction center through the Fenna-Matthews-Olson (FMO) protein (5). Several *Chlorobiaceae* species have been sequenced since the first genome of *Chlorobaculum tepidum* TLS in 2002 (8–11). These genomes are relatively small (2 to 3 Mbp), which reflects the biochemical simplicity of this phylum (5, 8, 12). Because of the challenges with obligately anaerobic cultivation, *Chlorobaculum* strains are underrepresented in genome sequence studies compared with other photosynthetic organisms. Nevertheless, they play an important environmental role in the geochemical sulfur cycle in nature.

The *Chlorobaculum* sp. 24CR strain was isolated by N. Pfennig from the Carmel River (California), near Hopkins Marine Station in Monterey around 1960. A pure culture was established on standard *Chlorobium* medium with acetate and thiosulfate. We isolated DNA from decades-old frozen cells, using the GeneJET DNA purification kit (Thermo Scientific), in order to examine potential differences in this strain compared with the other sequenced members of *Chlorobiaceae*. The quantity and quality of DNA were determined using Qubit and NanoDrop instruments and showed a 260/280 ratio of 1.81. The DNA library was prepared with the Nextera DNA flex library prep kit (Illumina). The genome was sequenced using 500  $\mu$ l of a 1.8-pM library with an Illumina MiniSeq instrument, using paired-end sequencing ( $2 \times 150$  bp). This sequencing generated 1,892,452 reads, yielding a total of 163.86 Mbp. Quality control of the reads was performed using FASTQC within BaseSpace (Illumina, version 1.0.0), using a kmer size of 5 and contamination filtering. The data were assembled *de novo* using the Velvet application (version 1.2.10) (13) within BaseSpace (Illumina). The assembled genome consists of 109 contigs ( $>500$  bp), with the largest contig being 154,777 bp, and an  $N_{50}$

**Citation** Freed S, Robertson S, Meyer T, Kyndt J. 2019. Draft whole-genome sequence of the green sulfur photosynthetic bacterium *Chlorobaculum* sp. strain 24CR, isolated from the Carmel River. *Microbiol Resour Announc* 8:e00116-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00116-19>.

**Editor** Irene L. G. Newton, Indiana University, Bloomington

**Copyright** © 2019 Freed et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to John Kyndt, [jkyndt@bellevue.edu](mailto:jkyndt@bellevue.edu).

**Received** 30 January 2019

**Accepted** 26 February 2019

**Published** 21 March 2019

value of 62,441 bp. The G+C content was 56.7%. The genome sequence was annotated using Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST; version 2.0) (14), which showed the whole-genome sequencing (WGS) project to be 2,772,917 bp in length and identified 2,958 coding DNA sequences (CDSs) and 51 RNAs.

A BLAST (NCBI) comparison of the 16S rRNA subunit shows 98% identity to *Chlorobaculum parvum* DSM263 (1,472/1,508 bp) and 97% to *Chlorobaculum tepidum* TLS (1,462/1,508 bp). As expected for a green sulfur bacterium, *Chlorobaculum* sp. 24CR has a set of chlorosome genes, including A, B, C, D, E, F, H, I, J, and X, and the BchlA-containing FMO protein. It also contains the *Sox FXYZAB* genes for thiosulfate oxidation.

A JSpecies comparison (15) of the average percentage nucleotide identity (ANI) between *Chlorobaculum* sp. 24CR and other published *Chlorobaculum* genomes gave the following percentages: *Chlorobaculum limnaeum* DSM1677, 85.8%; *Chlorobaculum tepidum* TLS, 84.8%; and *Chlorobaculum parvum* DSM263, 81.0%. Thus, *Chlorobaculum* sp. 24CR appears to be approximately equidistant to the other three *Chlorobaculum* species that have been sequenced. They are more distant to the *Chlorobium*, *Prosthecochloris*, and *Chloroherpeton* species at about 70% identity. However, these numbers are clearly below the proposed 95% cutoff for genome definition of a species, which suggests that *Chlorobaculum* sp. 24CR should be recognized as a separate species.

**Data availability.** This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number [SDGU00000000](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/47/11/5000). The version described in this paper is the first version, SDGU01000000. The raw sequencing reads have been submitted to the SRA and the corresponding accession number is [SRR8483032](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was sponsored by the Wilson Enhancement Fund for Applied Research in Science at Bellevue University.

## REFERENCES

- Imhoff JF. 2014. The family *Chlorobiaceae*. In Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), *The prokaryotes*. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Gregersen LH, Bryant DA, Frigaard N-U. 2011. Mechanisms and evolution of oxidative sulfur metabolism in green sulfur bacteria. *Front Microbiol* 2:116. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00116>.
- Overmann J, Cypionka H, Pfennig N. 1992. An extremely low-light adapted phototrophic sulfur bacterium from the Black Sea. *Limnol Oceanogr* 37:150–155. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1992.37.1.0150>.
- Lui Z, Müller J, Li T, Alvey RM, Vogl K, Frigaard N-U, Rockwell NC, Boyd ES, Tomsho LP, Schuster SC, Henke P, Rohde M, Overmann J, Bryant DA. 2013. Genomic analysis reveals key aspects of prokaryotic symbiosis in the phototrophic consortium "*Chlorochromatium aggregatum*." *Genome Biol* 14:R127. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-11-r127>.
- Frigaard N-U, Chew AGM, Li H, Maresca JA, Bryant DA. 2003. *Chlorobium tepidum*: insights into the structure, physiology, and metabolism of a green sulfur bacterium derived from the complete genome sequence. *Photosynth Res* 78:93–117. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PRES.0000004310.96189.b4>.
- Frigaard N-U, Bryant DA. 2004. Seeing green bacteria in a new light: genomics-enabled studies of the photosynthetic apparatus in green sulfur bacteria and filamentous anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria. *Arch Microbiol* 182:265–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-004-0718-9>.
- Imhoff JF, Thiel V. 2010. Phylogeny and taxonomy of *Chlorobiaceae*. *Photosynth Res* 104:123–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-009-9510-7>.
- Eisen JA, Nelson KE, Paulsen IT, Heidelberg JF, Wu M, Dodson RJ, Deboy R, Gwinn ML, Nelson WC, Haft DH, Hickey EK, Peterson JD, Durkin AS, Kolonay JL, Yang F, Holt I, Umayam LA, Mason T, Brenner M, Shea TP, Parksey D, Nierman WC, Feldblyum TV, Hansen CL, Craven MB, Radune D, Vamathevan J, Khouri H, White O, Gruber TM, Ketchum KA, Venter JC, Tettelin H, Bryant DA, Fraser CM. 2002. The complete genome sequence of *Chlorobium tepidum* TLS, a photosynthetic, anaerobic, green-sulfur bacterium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99:9509–9514. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.132181499>.
- Mansor M, Macalady JL. 2016. Draft genome sequence of lampenflora *Chlorobium limicola* strain Frassasi in a sulfidic cave system. *Genome Announc* 4:e00357-16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00357-16>.
- Crowe SA, Hahn AS, Morgan-Lang C, Thompson KJ, Simister RL, Llíros M, Hirst M, Hallam SJ. 2017. Draft genome sequence of the pelagic phototroph *Chlorobium phaeoferroxidans*. *Genome Announc* 5:e01584-16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01584-16>.
- Tank M, Liu Z, Frigaard N-U, Tomsho LP, Schuster SC, Bryant DA. 2017. Complete genome sequence of the photoautotrophic and bacteriochlorophyll *e*-synthesizing green sulfur bacterium *Chlorobaculum limnaeum* DSM1677<sup>T</sup>. *Genome Announc* 5:e00529-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00529-17>.
- Méndez-Alvarez S, Pavoń V, Esteve I, Guerrero R, Gaju N. 1995. Genomic heterogeneity in *Chlorobium limicola*: chromosomal and plasmidic differences among strains. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 134:279–285. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1995.tb07951.x>.
- Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 18:821–829. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.074492.107>.
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. *BMC Genomics* 9:75. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75>.
- Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Glöckner FO, Peplies J. 2016. JSpeciesWS: a Web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. *Bioinformatics* 32:929–931. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681>.